```
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT
 1
 2
                         STATE OF HAWAII
 3
 4
     PAUL J. SULLA, JR., an
                                   CIVIL NO. 12-1-417
     individual, and PAUL J.
 5
     SULLA, III, an
     individual,
                                    PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF
 6
                                    PROCEEDINGS HELD ON
                                    JANUARY 4, 2013
 7
             Plaintiffs,
                                    TESTIMONY OF
 8
        vs.
                                    PAUL J. SULLA III
 9
     LEONARD G. HOROWITZ, an
10
     individual; SHERRI KANE,
     an individual; et al.,
11
12
             Defendants,
13
        and
14
     LEONARD G. HOROWITZ, an
15
     individual; SHERRI KANE,
     an individual,
16
17
             Defendants/
             Counterclaimants,
18
        vs.
19
20
     PAUL J. SULLA, JR.;
     PAUL J. SULLA, III;
     HERBERT M. RITKE, an
21
     individual; et al.,
22
23
             Counterclaim
             Defendants/Third- )
24
             Party Defendants.
25
```

1 PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 2 before the Honorable Elizabeth Strance, Judge, Fourth Division, presiding, on January 4, 2013. 3 4 1. GOOGLE, INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE SEPTEMBER 7, 5 2012 ADDENDUM TO DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER CONTAINING COUNTERCLAIM AND TO QUASH SEPTEMBER 7, 2012 SUMMONS AS TO GOOGLE, INC. 7 2. MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND FOR FAILURE TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES 8 3. PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 9 MOTION TO JOIN THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS 10 5. MOTION TO ARREST PAUL SULLA, JR., AND JASON 11 HESTER, UNDER RULE 64(B) FOR FRAUD UPON THREE COURTS AND MULTIPLE VIOLATIONS OF STATE AND FEDERAL 12 STATUTES 13 6. CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL JANET HUNT'S MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 14 15 7. MOTION TO STRIKE APPELLANT HOROWITZ'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND PROPOSED RULE 64 ARREST ORDER FOR FRAUD UPON THE 16 COURT 17 THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS GARY VICTOR DUBIN. 18 BENJAMIN R. BROWER, AND DUBIN LAW OFFICES' SUBSTANTIVE JOINDER IN: 1, THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF HAWAII, STATE OF HAWAII'S FIRST AMENDED 19 MOTION TO DISMISS, FILED OCTOBER 11, 2012; 2, THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT STEWART TITLE GUARANTY COMPANY'S 20 MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STRIKE, 21 FILED DECEMBER 3, 2012; AND 3, GOOGLE, INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE, FILED OCTOBER 10, 2012 22 23 24 REPORTED BY: JULIE SORENSON, CSR 148 Official Court Reporter

State of Hawaii

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	
3	ror ene rearmering.	PAUL J. SULLA, JR., ESQ. Post Office Box 5258 Hilo, HI 96720
4		H110, H1 96720
5	For the Defendants Leonard G. Horowitz	LEONARD G. HOROWITZ SHERRI KANE
6	and Sherri Kane:	Pro se
7	For the Third Donter	
8	For the Third-Party Defendants Dubin Law Offices, Gary Victor	Dubin Law Offices 3100 Harbor Court
9	Dubin, and Benjamin R. Brower:	55 Merchant Street Honolulu, HI 96813
10		,
11	For Janet S. Hunt, Chief Disciplinary	
12	Counsel:	425 Queen Street Honolulu, HI 96813
13		30013
14	For Google, Inc.:	ALLISON MIZUO LEE, ESQ. Cades Schutte
15		1000 Bishop St., Ste. 1200 Honolulu, HI 96813
16		nonorara, nr 90013
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		4	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	DEFENDANTS' WITNESS	PAGE	
4	Paul J. Sulla III		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Horowitz	6	
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Kane	28	
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sulla	42	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

JANUARY 4, 2013 - PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT 1 2 (The prior proceedings were reported 3 but were not transcribed herein.) 4 --000--5 MR. HOROWITZ: I would like to call as 6 my first witness Paul Sulla III. 7 MS. KANE: And then I will ask him questions. 8 9 THE COURT: Mr. Sulla III, please step forward, stand next to the witness box, raise your 10 right hand, prepare to take the oath to answer 11 12 truthfully questions that are asked of you. 13 MR. SULLA III: Where am I standing? THE COURT: Up here. 14 15 MR. SULLA III: Right here? 16 THE COURT: Please raise your right hand. 17 PAUL J. SULLA III 18 called as a witness by and on behalf of the 19 Defendants/Counterclaimants, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and 2.0 21 nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 22 23 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated. 24 THE COURT: Please be seated. 25 Mr. Horowitz.

```
MR. HOROWITZ: Just to be clear, your
 1
            Will Ms. Kane be given an opportunity also
 2
     Honor:
     to examine the witness?
 3
               THE COURT: Right. But I'm not -- you can
 4
 5
     ask questions, and when you're finished asking
     questions, she can ask questions, and then Mr. Sulla
 6
 7
     can cross-examine.
 8
               MR. HOROWITZ: Okay. Thank you.
 9
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
10
     BY MR. HOROWITZ:
               Hi. Please state your name for the Court,
11
        Ο.
12
     please.
13
               THE COURT: And I'm going to have you ask
14
     questions from the podium.
15
               MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, okay.
16
               THE COURT: If you have a document that
17
     you want the witness to consider, you should have
18
     prepared an exhibit list and that document be made
```

MS. KANE: Actually, everything's already in the file, your Honor. That's what they're claiming is false. It's already been submitted to the Court as evidence, as exhibits in evidence.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of the record.

THE COURT: There haven't been exhibits submitted in evidence.

This is an evidentiary hearing. And if you're going to ask the Court to take some sort of judicial notice of a filing, then you need to direct the Court to the document; and then you need to prepare an exhibit list --

1.3

MS. KANE: Okay, we have an exhibit list -THE COURT: Excuse me.

-- so that that exhibit is clearly marked as part of the file for this motion.

MR. HOROWITZ: Your Honor, I'm going to pull some exhibits out of what we've filed.

And I also want to bring the Court's attention to the fact that we filed, in correcting the Court, please, most respectfully -- the table of contents is in this large filing, along with the case laws and the citations, so that -- I can give that, if you want me to. I'll be happy to.

But let me just pull a couple of these documents.

I'd like to give the Court this public record that is printed off of the internet from a paid internet search of the names Paul Sulla Jr. and Paul Sulla III. And I'd like to enter this into evidence and ask some questions with regard to this evidence, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Have you showed that to Mr. Sulla? 2 MR. SULLA: Your Honor, I've been handed 3 4 three pages -- unless I'm getting more. 5 MR. HOROWITZ: Here's more. MR. SULLA: Oh, a lot more. 6 7 THE COURT: What I'm going to do is -it's unfair to Mr. Sulla to not have him be provided 8 9 notice of what exhibits you're intending to use. 10 We're going to take a break for ten minutes. I want you to show Mr. Sulla every single exhibit that 11 12 you're intending to offer as part of this hearing. 1.3 I'm going to provide you with a blank 14 exhibit list, which you are directed to prepare; and when we come back from the break, you can submit 15 16 that to the Court. Okav? The Court stands in recess. We'll stand 17

The Court stands in recess. We'll stand in recess until 2 o'clock.

18

19

20

21

22

25

And then for the parties who I've granted your motions, I think that disposes of you as parties. You are not required to stay for hearing on this preliminary injunction.

MS. CHUN: Very well, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: The Court stands in recess.

```
1
                (A recess was taken.)
 2
               THE CLERK: Calling Civil Case
     No. CC 12-1-417. Paul J. Sulla, Jr., et al., versus
 3
     Leonard Horowitz, et al.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               The record will reflect the presence of
 6
     Mr. Horowitz, Ms. Kane, and Mr. Sulla.
 7
 8
               And Mr. Sulla, Jr., shall retake the
 9
     witness stand.
10
               MR. SULLA: It's "III," your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: "III," yes. Thank you.
12
               Please retake the stand. You're still
13
     under oath.
14
               THE WITNESS: Oh, good.
               THE COURT: Mr. Horowitz, please proceed.
15
16
               Mr. Horowitz, are you ready to proceed?
17
               MR. HOROWITZ: Just about, your Honor.
18
     apologize.
19
               Your Honor, did you want me to give this
20
     to Mr. Sulla as we continue?
               THE COURT: Well, normally he would be
21
22
     provided copies of whatever exhibits you are
23
     planning to admit so that he could have seen them.
24
               MR. HOROWITZ: Well, here is -- so this is
     the public records of the Sullas on the internet,
25
```

```
and Mr. Sulla has seen these previously.
 1
 2
               MS. KANE: Yeah, we e-mailed it to him in
 3
     our --
               THE COURT: Excuse me. Ms. Kane, you may
 4
     not speak. Mr. Horowitz is the presenting witness
 5
     at this time, and I'm not going to be having you tag
 6
 7
     team.
 8
               MR. SULLA: Your Honor, they've shown me
     this -- they handed me these documents, which appear
 9
     to be copies of things, but I -- there's no founda-
10
11
     tion for this, your Honor, and I'm questioning
     relevance also. So I'd object to this being --
12
1.3
               THE COURT: It's not being admitted right
14
     now.
15
               MR. SULLA: I understand.
16
               THE COURT: It's being shown to you.
                                                      And
17
     I'll address it as --
18
               MR. SULLA: I don't know how --
19
               THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me.
2.0
               We have a court reporter. Only one person
21
     can speak at a time.
22
               I'll address admissibility at the time
     that the document is offered. If you have some
23
24
     other objection to it being used now, then please
25
     state it.
```

```
MR. SULLA: I'm just trying to understand
 1
     the pages that are loosely given to me here.
 2
     There's four, five -- four -- seven pages, double-
 3
     sided.
 5
               THE COURT: And what is the source of the
     document?
 6
               MR. HOROWITZ: This is a paid-for search
 7
     on the internet by PeopleSmart. It's a background
 8
     report on the identities of individuals Paul Sulla
 9
10
     Jr. and Paul Sulla III.
```

THE COURT: Proceed.

- (By Mr. Horowitz) Mr. Sulla, may I call Ο. you "Paul," I guess? Is that the name you'd like to be called?
 - Α. Sure.

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Okay. Paul, have you ever done an Q. internet search on your name, Paul J. Sulla III?
 - Α. Like a Google search?
- 19 Ο. And does your name exclusively come up?
- 20 No. Sometimes I see those web sites that Α. 21 you've done, so those come up.
- 22 But in terms of other Paul J. Sullas, are 23 there any other Paul J. Sullas, III's or Jr.'s, 24 other than you or your father?
- 25 I'm not sure about that. I haven't done Α.

any extensive searches like that, no.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you take a look at this document here, because this is a paid-for document. Do you see "Paul J. Sulla III" on those documents anywhere?
- A. Well, it would probably take me a little while --

MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor.

A. -- to search through all these different documents that you just gave me.

THE COURT: Excuse me.

Objection?

THE COURT:

MR. SULLA: Again, there's no foundation for these papers that he's handing to him. I don't know what he's supposed to be looking at.

The question -- the question's relevance as to what he's -- how this bears at all upon the defamation claim and the motion for preliminary injunction, I have -- no connection to that.

MR. HOROWITZ: Yeah. The relevance is that there's clearly a confusion initially on who Mr. Sulla III is, whether he existed at all. The

Mr. Horowitz, the relevance?

complaint deals with the confusion that we have

24 defamed both the Sullas. And the existence of this

25 individual, according to the internet, is extremely

obscure. And even if one pays for an identification of an individual other than Paul Sulla Jr., one doesn't find him.

It's also relevant because of clinical psychologist. It's claimed that this is hurting his practice. There's no advertisements. If there was an association of this individual with a clinical practice of psychology, it would be listed in the public records. There's no advertisements. There's not even -- this person is really kind of, like, virtually nonexistent.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection, because there's been no foundation laid regarding the sourcing of this document, the accuracy of this document. So the Court would be unable to assess its import in this case without that foundation laid. So sustained.

And you can retrieve the exhibit from the witness.

MR. HOROWITZ: So you -- excuse me, your Honor. Do you identify this as Paul Sulla --

THE COURT: I've sustained an objection regarding the use of that document.

MR. HOROWITZ: Your Honor, I'm concerned, because, again, this is a document that shows that

```
1 | they're mixed-up identities. It's very clear.
```

THE COURT: But you've laid no foundation

3 | regarding that document or the import of that

4 | document. And anybody can find anything on the

5 | internet or pay for a lot of things on the internet.

6 And this Court's not going to attribute value to a

7 | source of a document that you haven't established as

8 | having value.

- 9 Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) Paul, do you have a
- 10 practice in clinical psychology?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 | Q. Do you advertise your practice anywhere?
- 13 A. Do I advertise what?
- Q. Do you advertise your clinical practice of
- 15 psychology anywhere?
- 16 A. No, not at this time.
- Q. And have you read the complaint that you
- 18 | filed?
- 19 | A. I did, yes.
- Q. You did? Did you sign -- is that your
- 21 | signatures on those complaints?
- 22 A. Yes, that's my signature. I signed it.
- Q. So you signed that you were a clinical
- 24 | psychologist; is that right?
- A. No, I didn't sign that I was a clinical

psychologist. I signed under my name, that I'm
Paul J. Sulla III.

Q. Well, the allegation in that complaint is that you are a practicing clinical psychologist.

MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor. He's arguing something from the complaint, but he hasn't pointed out where that is in the complaint.

THE COURT: Which paragraph of the complaint? Other than -- if there's something more than paragraph 2, if you would note that for the --

MR. SULLA: Paragraph 2, I guess it is.

MS. KANE: Is this the one?

MR. HOROWITZ: Yes.

MS. KANE: Here. Here.

MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you.

Your Honor, paragraph 2. So it says, quote, Sulla III has obtained two master's degrees in psychology and is working as a clinical psychologist.

- Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) So, Paul, if you're -- are you working as a clinical psychologist, as it states here?
- A. I'm trying to elaborate on that, what I actually do. Do you wanna know my profession, is that what you're asking?

- Q. No. I'm asking you what it states here in the complaint, that you're working as a clinical psychologist.
 - A. I'm working in the field of clinical psychology. I'm working in the field of clinical psychology.

MS. KANE: What about this one?

- Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) So would you say that this is an accurate statement, that you're working as a clinical psychologist?
- A. I would say that there -- it is not phrased completely correctly, probably.
 - Q. What's wrong with it?
- A. I'm a marriage and family therapist, so it's in the field of clinical psychology. I have a master's degree in clinical counseling. Right?
- Q. Are you aware of any laws in the state of Hawaii with regard to making a statement that you're a clinical psychologist, working as a clinical psychologist?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And what are those laws?
- A. I do not have anything to cite at this time of any laws. I don't know if --
 - Q. Is it legal or is it illegal at this time

```
for you, without a license, to be claiming that
 1
     you're working as a clinical psychologist?
 2
 3
               MR. SULLA:
                            Objection, your Honor.
                                                    Ι
     don't know if he would know that answer.
               THE COURT: Legal versus illegal.
 5
     Sustained.
 6
               THE WITNESS:
                              All right.
 8
        Q.
               (By Mr. Horowitz) Well, if you can --
     well, you've already established that you are not
 9
10
     working as a clinical psychologist and that that is
11
     an inaccuracy in the filing.
               I don't see how it's relevant to any of
12
        Α.
13
     what's inside the filing.
               MR. HOROWITZ: Your Honor, I -- relevance
74
15
     with regard to omitting, neglecting, deceiving.
               THE COURT: I think you can move on.
16
     You've established that the witness is not a
17
18
     clinical psychologist, and the witness has provided
19
     an explanation for what he does.
20
               MR. HOROWITZ: Well, this is -- you've
     seen that before, I'm sure.
21
               MR. SULLA: I don't -- you know, there's
22
23
     no list here, so I don't really know what he's going
```

to come up with next, your Honor. But I object to

this report also as -- the basis that there's no

24

```
1 | foundation for this --
```

- THE COURT: What is the document --
- MR. SULLA: -- next exhibit he's intending
- 4 to use.
- MR. HOROWITZ: This is a document
- 6 entitled, "Identifying Spiritual Content in Reports
- 7 | from Ayahuasca Sessions, " coauthored by --
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) Do you also go by the
- 9 name of Joseph Sulla?
- 10 A. That's my middle name.
- 11 Q. Do you recognize the title of this
- 12 | article?
- THE WITNESS: Are we submitting this
- 14 | article? Am I answering this or -- I'm just
- 15 | wondering.
- 16 | THE COURT: The witness will answer the
- 17 | question.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- 19 A. Yes. That article I coauthored with
- 20 | Stanley Krippner in -- what year was that? It was a
- 21 | long time ago. In the nineties, I believe. Right?
- Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) That's correct.
- MR. HOROWITZ: So, your Honor, I'd like to
- 24 | submit this as evidence of what type of clinical
- 25 | psychology practice Paul Sulla engages in.

MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor. The relevance of this matter, of a writing that was done maybe ten years ago, does not have any bearing on the intention of what he's intending to have it submitted for.

1.8

2.0

MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, the relevance is because of the extent of the clinical practice of working in the field of family therapy or psychology, as he stated, has intimate connections to the use of pharmaceutical psychotropic drugs.

And I'd like to establish the fact that he has, you know, coauthored with the most esteemed researcher in the field in that area.

MR. SULLA: Your Honor, again, object for relevance. This is a matter of defamation. He's using this article to defame and not to assert any truth in this matter. It has no bearing on any crime or anything that supports any of the claims that he's made here in his web page.

MR. HOROWITZ: Your Honor, if I might make a comment on that.

THE COURT: Well, what paragraph of the complaint -- Mr. Sulla has listed in the complaint a whole list of alleged defamatory statements --

MR. HOROWITZ: That's correct, your Honor, and there are none.

THE COURT: Let me finish.

1.0

1.3

MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, sorry.

THE COURT: You're claiming that there is relevance to that article. Which paragraph and allegation does the article go to?

MR. HOROWITZ: This has a relevance to, certainly, the one connecting to the Central Intelligence Agency.

THE COURT: That would be paragraphs 27 and 28?

MR. HOROWITZ: And let me -- and that with regard to the aspect of the defamation, alleged defamation, it has to do with the commercial operations of an ayahuasca church in North Hilo. It deals specifically with much of the alleged defamation. In our defense, we're simply telling the truth with regard to what type of clinical psychology practice Mr. Sulla engages in.

THE COURT: I'm looking, Mr. Sulla, at the complaint. I don't see what the specific allegations of defamation are against Paul Sulla III.

MR. SULLA: Well, your Honor, if you follow the web page, it gets confusing as to -- he

```
does confuse us and claims that my son is my alter ego, and then goes on to defame Paul Sulla III, which comes up in --
```

MR. HOROWITZ: Excuse me, your Honor.

THE COURT: Excuse me.

MR. SULLA: But the CIA cult experiments is really where my son began to surface. This was an evolving web page. It's been going on now for two -- almost two years.

THE COURT: I understand --

MR. SULLA: And he --

THE COURT: Excuse me.

MR. SULLA: Sorry.

THE COURT: I'm asking you which paragraph in your complaint are alleging defamation against your son, because as I look through the complaint, they're all involving you.

MR. SULLA: That's correct, your Honor. However, as I allege in paragraph 45, that basically using me as either my son's name, claiming he's my alter ego -- and all this defamation, et cetera, has an effect of defaming my son in that same way, because it's his formal name. So in paragraph 45 is where I set forth --

THE COURT: So you subsume the specific

```
allegations of defamation against you as being
 1
 2
     attributable to being made against your son in
 3
     paragraph 45.
               MR. SULLA: Yes, your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
 6
               Mr. Horowitz, you may proceed.
 7
               MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.
 8
        Ο.
               (By Mr. Horowitz) So the practice of
 9
     psychology family therapy that you're allegedly
10
     engaged in, where does that take place?
11
        Α.
               That takes place at Lokahi Treatment
12
     Center, which is a nonprofit organization.
     it has several offices. I work in Honokaa and Hilo.
13
               And do you prescribe any pharmaceuticals
14
15
     at those places?
16
        Α.
               No.
17
        Q.
               Do you use ayahuasca yourself?
               MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor.
18
19
     Relevance.
20
               MR. HOROWITZ: Well, the relevance is
21
     that --
22
               MR. SULLA:
                            It's only being used to cast
     aspersion on the witness, and there's no relevance
23
2.4
     to the defamation here.
25
               THE COURT: I don't even know what you're
```

- talking about, so I'm going to sustain the objection as lack of foundation, and then I can go from there.
 - Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) So you don't prescribe pharmaceuticals for your clients at that location?
 - A. At that location or any other location.
 - Q. Well, what about this location, as you wrote in this article?
- MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor. I don't know how that's a question that he can answer --
- MR. HOROWITZ: Well, it --
- MR. SULLA: -- by the way it's phrased.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
 - MR. HOROWITZ: Okay. Let me see if I can rephrase it.
 - Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) In this article you cite about a community experiment using ayahuasca. And for the Court's understanding, can you explain what "ayahuasca" is?
 - A. "Ayahuasca" is one term for a medicine, a plant-based antigen, that has been used for thousands of years by several tribes in the Amazon Basin for healing and divination and religious use.
 - Q. Are you a minister?
- 25 A. What?

- Q. Are you a minister? Are you a church
 minister?

 A. No, I am not a minister. I don't have a
 ministerial license or anything of the sort.
 - Q. So when you did this experiment with Dr. Krippner, what capacity were you where you were engaged in this work?
 - A. What experiment are you referring to? I don't remember --
 - Q. The one that you --

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

15

16

17

- 11 A. -- ever doing any experiments with 12 Dr. Krippner.
- Q. Would you like me to give this one to you?
 - MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor. He's badgering the witness, your Honor. He's characterizing this as an experiment, and the witness testifies there's no experiment.
- 19 THE COURT: There's no --
- MR. SULLA: In fact, the --
- THE COURT: Excuse me.
- MR. SULLA: Sorry.
- THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection
- 24 on lack of foundation and with respect to the
- 25 | witness's familiarity with the article.

MR. HOROWITZ: Well taken, your Honor.

Thank you.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) What was this -- can you explain to the Court what this article was really for? What was the subject matter of this article and the purpose of this article?
- Α. You want to know the background of this Okay. Well, I did extensive field studies article? in the Amazon and wrote about them, while I was in UH Hilo, as part of my final report, my final research paper. I wrote about my experiences in the jungle. And I, at the same time, met Dr. Krippner. This turned into my master's thesis for my first master's. You could, you know -- so about my research in Brazil with these healers in the Amazon jungle, which Stanley Krippner saw. And he wanted to -- he thought it was an important writing, and he wanted to do a publication together. So he put together most of this publication, using some of my research, and put me on as a coauthor.
- Q. And this is a psychoactive formula that is manufactured? How is it manufactured?

MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor.

Relevance regarding -- we're going into something

that is basically -- he has before him in his

article explaining it. There's no relevance to the defamation issues that are before the Court relative to what makes up ayahuasca.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) With regard to the -MR. HOROWITZ: That was overruled, your
Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

1.3

2.4

MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Horowitz) With regard to the contents for use, as you mentioned, for spiritual or religious purposes, you said, this plant or this formula ayahuasca, what does it do and what does it contain?
- A. What does it do and what does it contain? What does it do and what does it contain -- that's a very general question. I'm not sure exactly how you want me to answer that.
- Q. Okay. Well, just: What are the effects of ayahuasca and what is the primary ingredient that causes the effects?
- A. There are a couple different ingredients that cause effects.

What does it do? I would say that -- you know, there's different ways of looking at what it

does. It gets people more in tune with yourself.

You know, like, certain substances people do to
escape from their problems or to go away. This
is something that will make you work on your own

Some people would call it a hallucinogen, but many people say it is not a hallucinogen. I agree with the latter people, because it does not cause any hallucinations. It causes introspection and healing. It has purgative effects. It's been used for healing, is mostly what it's been used for throughout history.

- Q. Just briefly, because I don't want to take up too much more time on this: You recognize the name "DMT" or the letters "DMT"?
 - A. Mm-hmm.

issues.

- Q. And can you tell the Court whether or not that is an ingredient, even a primary ingredient, in ayahuasca?
- A. I wouldn't say it is an ingredient. It is found inside the drink as one of the constituent chemicals you can find, just as it's found in almost every plant. It's found in your own brain, if you study your own brain. So I wouldn't say -- it's nothing like smoking DMT or using DMT; no, it's

nothing like that.

1

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Well, is that a controlled narcotic, to your knowledge?
 - A. The substance DMT is, yes.
 - Q. And is that substance in ayahuasca brews?
 - A. Well, is that substance inside my head? I mean, so am I illegal? It doesn't -- I don't think that's --
 - Q. I didn't ask you whether it's in your head. I asked you whether it's in the brews that you recommend with Dr. Krippner here and apparently use.
 - A. I don't believe I've ever recommended anything with Dr. Krippner. But, yes, it is a substance that is part of the constituents of that. I would say, very strongly, that is very different than the substance DMT itself, the one that is scheduled as a substance, controlled substance.
 - Q. All right. Thank you. So I think that is all I have for you, Paul. Thank you so much.
 - MR. HOROWITZ: Sherri, would you like to -MS. KANE: Yeah, I can ask a question.
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. KANE:
- Q. I wanted to go back to the confusion of

```
the names. If you look on "breg," which is a Hawaii government site, basically if you do a search for the names "Paul J. Sulla" as a business, it comes out to attorney-at-law, which is Mr. Sulla. And Mr. Sulla, your father, actually claimed you are a clinical psychologist. He never made any clearance that you were --
```

THE COURT: Ms. Kane, are you testifying or asking --

MR. SULLA: That's what I was going to ask. Object, your Honor.

- Q. (By Ms. Kane) Okay. So are you aware that Mr. Sulla signed your name to that first document stating you were a clinical psychologist?
- A. He didn't sign my name. I signed my own name. And when I looked at it with him, I said,
 "Just so you are clear" -- and I talked to my father -- "I am not a clinical psychologist. You realize that."

And he said, "Yes, I know, but that's not relevant. But that is -- I'm saying you're in the field of clinical psychology. I'm not saying you are a clinical psychologist."

And so we said it was okay and signed that.

- Q. Have you ever seen me or Leonard before?

 Dr. Horowitz. Have you ever seen either one of us

 or even spoken to us or -- even on the telephone?
 - A. I've seen you in these crazy videos that you've been putting up.
 - Q. Okay. But you've never seen -- I don't know which videos you're referring to. But have you seen me or Dr. Horowitz?
 - A. In person? No. This is the first --
 - Q. Have you ever spoken to us on the phone?
- 11 A. Myself? I have not, no.
- Q. Have you ever exchanged any e-mails with us?
- 14 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

2.3

24

- 15 Q. So we've never had any communication with 16 you.
- 17 A. Directly? No.
- Q. Okay. So is it safe to say that maybe we didn't even know you existed?
 - A. Well, following your, like, blog that's defaming my family, it's been said very clearly that you thought that my dad had some alter ego and he was using another name. And then right on there you said, Oh, now we've discovered that he actually has a son; and you started making all these accusations

```
about me and making up these stories about me.
```

- So, very clearly, from your on-line blog, you were very clearly differentiating us, after at first confusing us and trying to accuse my father of, like, making me up as a, you know, fantasy or something.
- Q. Okay. So when it was brought to our attention that he had a son, we corrected that on our web site immediately, realizing that he did have a son with the exact same name. Is that safe to say?
 - A. Yes, you corrected it and said that now he has a son and now we're going to attack the son too.
 - Q. Okay. Exactly what are those attacks?
 - A. Well, there are false --
- 16 Q. About you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

- A. -- accusations --
- 18 Q. About you. Specifically --
- 19 A. -- about me --
- 20 | Q. -- about you.
- A. -- saying that I was involved in some CIA experiments.
- There's been some other ones saying that

 I'm in -- because of my other job, which I work -
 just to be clear, I have two jobs right now. I work

for Lokahi Treatment Center as an anger management therapist and doing assessments and whatnot. I also work for Space Age Publishing Company in the International Lunar Observatory Association in Kamuela, Hawaii, which I've worked for five years, where we do publications about astronomy and astronautics. I write on this blog and work for this man who's into this.

1.8

2.0

So because of my association with them, there's been things written that I have some, like -- something with weaponry and NASA, and that I'm involved with the CIA because of Stanley Krippner. So those things have been accusations that have been falsely made about me. There's other ones on there as well.

And then the main thing that came up was that my boss, in August, got a phone call from these two individuals right here, where they made lots of really serious accusations about my person, who I am, and about my father, trying to, you know, tell my boss that, you know, I'm a bad person, I shouldn't be working there, and all this stuff.

If I was only working there for a year or less, it's very likely my boss would have gotten scared away and been like -- and just fired me right

```
1
     there.
             But instead, he said, "Wow, I've never
     gotten such a crazy phone call in my life.
 2
                                                  These
     people obviously sound like they have mental or
 3
     psychological problems or something. I know my" --
 4
     you know, me, the person -- "my employee, that I've
 5
     worked with for five years, as an upstanding citizen,
 6
     reliable, good employee." And so he stood up for me
 7
 8
     with them. But he was so freaked out --
 9
               MS. KANE: Objection.
10
               (By Ms. Kane) Where's your evidence to
        Ο.
11
     that?
12
        Α.
               Where is my evidence to that?
13
        Q.
               Yes.
                     You're making --
14
               THE COURT:
                           Excuse me.
15
               MR. SULLA: Objection. You're taking --
16
               THE COURT: You're asking questions --
               You're going to object for me -- my answer
17
        Α.
18
     to your question?
19
                          Well, I mean, he's saying these
               MS. KANE:
20
     claims, but he's not presenting any evidence.
     saying that we called his work and did these things.
21
22
     Where are -- where's the evidence to back that up?
23
     I mean --
24
               THE COURT: You're asking the question.
25
     He's your witness. You can ask him questions.
```

1 Okay. I'm sorry, your Honor. MS. KANE: I'm not an attorney. 2 I --3 THE COURT: I don't care. MS. KANE: Okay. I'm sorry. 4 5 THE COURT: You are not going to stand here and argue with witnesses. And if you're going 6 to come to court, you're going to learn the rules. 7 8 MS. KANE: Okay. THE COURT: You folks have filed thousands 9 10 of pages of pleadings. You've done a lot of legal research. It should have included what the rules of 11 court are and the rules of evidence and what the 12 expectations of you are going to be in court. 13 1.4 MS. KANE: Okay. 15 THE COURT: But you will be respectful to witnesses in this court and you'll be respectful to 16 17 parties in this court. 18 MS. KANE: Yes, your Honor. So all I was saying there was that was 19 20 the -- those were the main things where I've been 21 I've been accused on-line by these crazy accused. 22 accusations, which really just gets humorous, because I don't see anybody would even believe them, 23

And then you go and actually call my

because they're just so outlandish.

24

employer and try to get him to, like, fire me, or say some bad things about me and my family. And, luckily, he's worked with me and he knows that I'm an upstanding citizen, and so he did not do that. But he said, "Keep these people away from me, because they are obviously bad news and they obviously have psychological problems. And I don't want them involved with anybody around me, because they obviously look like they're just trying to create damage for you and everybody around you."

Right now, no, I'm not a practicing psychologist; but I have a degree in marriage and family therapy, and I would like to create a career in the field, and I'm actually actively starting to do that. I'm starting with Lokahi.

So that did put my career at risk.

But having this stuff on-line -- and I'm just afraid to even put myself out there on any web site. I want to put up a web site, because I am going to start some practice to work with families, you know, pro bono, until I get my license. But I'm sure they're going to be attacking and, like, going on my web site and stuff like this of what's going on. So I feel threatened. I feel like I have been attacked and defamed and accused and -- yeah. So

```
1 | this has not been very enjoyable.
```

- It's very nice to meet you, though. Thank
 you.
 - Q. (By Ms. Kane) Okay. So you're claiming that we called up your work, and you're saying that we said all of these things. Do you have any evidence of that to show to the Court?
 - A. If you would like me to, I can get a written statement signed by my employer. I could probably have it faxed to the Court right -- in five minutes, if you'd like me to do that.
- 12 Q. That would be -- I guess it's up to the 13 Judge, but --

THE WITNESS: Do you want me -- I could do

that. Do you have a fax number? I could have it

faxed over right now.

THE COURT: We're proceeding with the hearing that's --

MS. KANE: Okay.

THE WITNESS: No?

- Q. (By Ms. Kane) So are you aware of
- 22 Dr. Krippner's CIA connections?
- 23 A. CIA connections?
- 24 Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

25 A. I don't -- he has no CIA connections.

Q. Dr. Krippner. Actually, he works with the CIA as a --

A. Okay. This is like fantasy on-line stuff, if we're going to go into this realm.

I worked with Dr. Stanley Krippner. You know, he says, like all these people, "You know, there's this conspiracy theory about me out there." But he's never said -- he's never worked for the CIA, that I know of. No knowledge of that.

He works for Saybrook Graduate School and Research Center, which is now -- that's what it was when I went there, because he was the professor who I worked with. He helped me with my dissertation. Very well-respected in the field. The university is now called Saybrook University. It's located in San Francisco, California.

Does he work for the CIA? No. I have no knowledge of that whatsoever.

- Q. Okay. So are you aware that Saybrook is where Timothy Leary and Alan Watts also did their drug testing on LSD experiments?
- A. No, Saybrook was not even formed when that
 was going on. Actually, you're totally misinformed.
 I don't see --

MR. HOROWITZ: Your Honor, may I --

- A. -- any relevance to anything -
 MR. HOROWITZ: -- may I just -
 THE COURT: No.
 - A. It's just ludicrous.

2.1

- Q. (By Ms. Kane) Okay. So Timothy Leary and Alan Watts were at Harvard University, and Saybrook has a special program for Alan Watts regarding LSD experimentation. Alan Watts worked closely with Stanley Krippner. And you are involved with Stanley Krippner, who did ayahuasca experimentations. Ayahuasca is --
- THE COURT: Excuse me. Is there a question somewhere --
- A. -- did not do any ayahuasca experimentation.
 - Q. (By Ms. Kane) Is that correct?
 - A. No. None of that's correct. You're totally off the wall with this, because he did not do any ayahuasca experimentation, he didn't do this and that.
 - He is a well-renowned expert in the field of consciousness studies and alternative states of reality and stuff like that. That's what -- Saybrook does have some people like that. He had associations with those people in the sixties.

```
Those people happened to -- used to be also very
 1
     respected individuals too. There was, you know, Ram
 2
     Dass and all these other -- you know, Ralph Metzner.
 3
     These are well-respected scientists that he had
     associations with. Because I've had associations
 5
     with well-respected scientists, if you want to bring
 6
 7
     that up -- but I don't even call that defamation.
     call that -- that's positive advertising.
 8
 9
     for that one. I like that one.
10
        Q.
               Okay. So . . . All right. So I would
11
     like to present -- I'll show it to Mr. Sulla first.
12
     This is a paper by Stanley Krippner.
13
        Α.
               I don't see where we're going with
14
     anything.
15
               MR. SULLA: One, two, three, four --
16
               MS. KANE: You just need to look at the
17
     title of it.
18
               MR. SULLA: The title of it?
19
               I have six pages here, your Honor.
2.0
     don't have any idea where it came from, how it was
21
     produced, and it has nothing to do with Paul Sulla
22
     or Paul Sulla III.
               MS. KANE: Well, it has to do with his
23
     connection to Mr. Stanley Krippner, who he claimed
24
```

has no connections with Alan Watts, who -- Alan

2.5

```
Watts worked with the CIA. Stanley Krippner worked
 1
 2
     with the CIA and drug experimentation in the sixties.
               THE COURT: You're not bringing that in.
 3
     That's just way out there.
 4
 5
        Α.
               Stanley Krippner works with so many
     students. I mean, he's a professor.
 7
               THE COURT: There's no question before you.
               (By Ms. Kane) So everything that we've
 8
        0.
 9
     published, we have documentation about Stanley
10
     Krippner --
               THE COURT: Do you have a question?
11
12
               MS. KANE: Yes, I do.
13
               (By Ms. Kane) I'm curious to know that --
        Ο.
     you claimed that we made defamation against your
14
              The only person that we know in your entire
1.5
     family.
16
     family, or know of, is your father. And --
               THE COURT: That's not a question.
17
18
        Q.
               (By Ms. Kane) Is that -- is that correct?
19
        Α.
               What is the question?
20
               MR. SULLA: Objection.
21
        Α.
               I don't hear a question.
22
        Q.
               (By Ms. Kane) My question --
23
               THE COURT: Excuse me.
                                        Sustained.
24
        Q.
               (By Ms. Kane) Okay. My question to you
25
     is: Where is the defamation?
```

```
1
        Α.
               Okay. So I repeat it again?
 2
               MR. SULLA: Asked and answered, your Honor.
 3
        Α.
               It's on-line --
               THE COURT: Excuse me.
 4
 5
               THE WITNESS: All right.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
 6
 7
               Move on.
 8
               MS. KANE: Okay. So there is no defama-
 9
     tion.
10
               THE COURT: No, that's -- I sustained the
11
     question.
                The witness said -- the objection was
12
     "asked and answered." I sustained --
13
        Ο.
               (By Ms. Kane) All right. Are you --
14
               THE COURT: -- and you're testifying.
15
        Q.
               (By Ms. Kane) Are you aware, Mr. Sulla,
     that Leonard and I are investigative journalists?
16
17
        Α.
               No.
               Okay. Well, that's what we are, and so
18
        Q.
19
     I'm letting you know.
               THE COURT: Excuse me.
20
                                        You are not --
21
               MR. SULLA: Objection. She's testifying,
22
     your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: You can ask questions, but I'm
24
     going to cut you off if you keep testifying.
25
        Q.
              (By Ms. Kane) Okay. So are you aware of
```

```
1
     our First Amendment rights?
               THE COURT: That's testifying.
 3
               MR. SULLA: Objection, your Honor.
               (By Ms. Kane) I'm asking:
 4
        Ο.
                                            Are you --
 5
               MR. SULLA: I don't believe he --
        Q.
 6
               (By Ms. Kane) -- aware of our --
 7
               MR. SULLA: -- has the background to
 8
     answer that question as it is --
 9
               THE COURT: Sustained.
10
               MS. KANE: So he's not aware of it.
               THE COURT: No, that's not what the record
11
     reflects.
12
               And I'm going to give you one more chance,
13
     and you're going to sit down if you keep testifying.
14
15
     This is not the trial. This is a preliminary injunc-
     tion hearing. You may ask the witness questions.
16
17
               MS. KANE:
                         Okay. Well, then I have no
     further questions, your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20
               Mr. Sulla.
               MR. SULLA: Yes, your Honor, just a few
21
22
     questions.
23
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
     BY MR. SULLA:
25
        Q.
               You attended Saybrook University?
```

- A. Yes. At the time it was called Saybrook
 Graduate and Research Center -- Graduate School and
 Research Center.
 - Q. And was Stanley Krippner a professor at that university?
 - A. Yes, he was a professor. He still is.
 - Q. You took courses with him?
- A. I actually did not take any courses with him.
- Q. But you collaborated with him on this article?
- A. I collaborated with him on the article
 before I got to the university. He advised me on my
 master's thesis. That's about it.
 - Q. And so you testified you're a marriage and family therapist, working towards licensure; is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.

4

5

7

15

16

- 19 Q. Is that in the field of clinical 20 psychology?
- A. In the broader field of clinical psychology, yes.
- MR. SULLA: Thank you.
- 24 | THE COURT: Any redirect?
- The witness may step down. Thank you.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF HAWAII)
4) SS. COUNTY OF HAWAII)
5	
6	
7	I, JULIE SORENSON, CSR 148, an Official Court
8	Reporter for the Third Circuit Court, State of
9	Hawaii, hereby certify that the foregoing comprises
10	a full, true, and correct transcription of my steno-
11	graphic notes taken in the above-entitled cause.
12	
13	
14	Dated this 2 day of July, 2014.
15	
16	
17	JULIE SORENSON, CSR #148
18	
19	
20	
21	
2.2	
23	
24	
25	